# Peer review grading inside a team (total 80 points)

## Team:

## Date:

Rule

1. Do not evaluate your performance. Evaluate only other team members’ performance.
2. Evaluate as a professional software engineer. Set aside your personal feelings.
3. The instructor provides you with grading points guidelines, but you can give any points from 0 to Max points.

Category

1. Each team member should follow the rule: “Integrity”
   1. Process: Each team member should be responsible for what s/he promised to accomplish and cooperative with other team members. (Max 20 points)
   2. Outputs: Each team member should strive for high quality outputs including documentation and coding. (Max 20 points)
2. Each team member should follow the rule: “No surprises”
   1. Each team member should be responsive when communication among team members. (Max 20 points)
   2. Each team member should notify whatever the other team members should know as early as possible. (Max 20 points)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Team Member |  | Evaluator: |  |
| Criterion | **Points** | **Score** | **Comment** |
| 1.a: This member has been a good coworker, and this member accomplished what s/he promised to do. | **Strongly agree: 20**  **Somewhat agree: 15**  **Hard to agree: 10**  **Don’t agree: 5** |  |  |
| 1.b: This member has been a “incapable”, “capable”, “Under-par”, or “contributing” member in building quality project output. | **Contributing member: 20 points**  **Capable: 15 points**  **Under-par: 10 points**  **Incapable: 5 points** |  |  |
| 2.a: This member was a good communicator. | **Strongly agree: 20**  **Somewhat agree: 15**  **Hard to agree: 10**  **Don’t agree: 5** |  |  |
| 2.b: This member was eager to share whenever changes occurred. | **Strongly agree: 20**  **Somewhat agree: 15**  **Hard to agree: 10**  **Don’t agree: 5** |  |  |